

A Comprehensive Review of the Riemann Hypothesis: Analytic Advances, Computational Verification, and Interdisciplinary Connections

Jiale Liu

Central South University, Hunan ChangSha, 410006

ABSTRACT

Since its inception in 1859, the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) has remained central to number theory. This paper systematically reviews milestone advancements, from Hardy's proof of the existence of zeros on the critical line to the Levinson-Conrey optimization of zero density proportions, and the Montgomery-Odlyzko correlations with statistical mechanics. By integrating computational verification and quantum chaos models, we explore modern interpretations of RH in algebraic geometry and noncommutative geometry. Synthesizing landmarks achievements since the 20th century, this work reveals potential pathways for multidisciplinary approaches to resolving RH.

KEYWORDS

Riemann Hypothesis; Critical line; Selberg trace formula; GUE conjecture; Quantum chaos

1 Introduction

The values $s = -2n$ (where n is a positive integer) constitute zeros of the Riemann ζ -function. These zeros are characterized by their ordered distribution and simple properties and are conventionally termed the trivial zeros of the Riemann ζ -function. In addition to these trivial zeros, the Riemann ζ -function possesses numerous other zeros whose properties are substantially more intricate than those of the trivial zeros. These are known as the non-trivial zeros. Mathematicians refer to the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ in the complex plane as the "critical line." Utilizing this terminology, the Riemann Hypothesis can be formulated as follows: all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function lie on the critical line. In other words, all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function are located on the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ in the complex plane, where $\text{Re}(s)$ denotes the real part of the complex number s .

The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is defined by the series expression:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (\text{Re}(s) > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}^+)$$

In the complex plane, the process of analytic continuation is applied to the expression in question due to the fact that this expression is only valid in the region where the real part of s , denoted as $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, is greater than 1 (otherwise, the series does not converge). By utilizing contour integration, the analytically continued Riemann zeta function can be expressed as:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(-z)^s}{e^z - 1} \frac{dz}{z}$$

In the given context, the integration path C encircles the positive real axis. The gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ appearing in the equation is an extension of the factorial function to the complex plane. For positive integers, it satisfies:

$$s > 1: \Gamma(s) = (s-1)!$$

It can be demonstrated that this integral representation is analytic throughout the entire complex plane, except for a simple pole at $s = 1$. This constitutes the complete definition of the Riemann zeta function. The distribution pattern of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function profoundly influences the refined formulation of the Prime Number Theorem:

$$\pi(x) = \text{Li}(x) + O_{\epsilon}(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$$

where $\text{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$ (von Koch, 1901).

2 Milestones in Analytic Number Theory

2.1 Oscillation Analysis by Hardy-Littlewood

Hardy and Littlewood (1921) introduced the real-valued function:

$$Z(t) = e^{i\theta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right), \quad \theta(t) = \text{Im} \log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{it}{2}\right) - \frac{t}{2} \log \pi$$

to investigate the sign changes of the ζ -function on the critical line. Their central theorem is as follows:

Theorem 2.1(Hardy–Littlewood, 1921)

For sufficiently large T , there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $Z(t)$ changes sign at least once in the interval $[T, T + C\sqrt{T} \log T]$. Consequently, the number of zeros on the critical line $N_0(T)$, satisfies:

$$N_0(T) \geq \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T\right)$$

Proof Strategy:

1. Truncation of the Dirichlet Series: Approximate $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ by the truncated sum $\sum_{n \leq X} n^{-\frac{1}{2} - it}$, where $X \approx \sqrt{t/2\pi}$.
2. Integral Bound Estimation: Demonstrate that $\int_T^{T+U} Z(t) dt \leq U^{1/2} \log T$, which contradicts the lower bound under the assumption of no sign changes.
3. Phase Cancellation Analysis: Utilize van der Corput-type estimates to exploit the phase cancellation properties of $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it))$.

2.2 Spectral Interpretation of the Selberg Trace Formula

Selberg (1942) extended the Hardy–Littlewood method through the analysis of higher moments:

Theorem 3.1(Selberg, 1942)

For any integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C_k \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^{2k} \sim C_k T (\log T)^{k^2}$$

Key Steps

1. Moment Expansion: expand $\left| \sum_{n \leq X} n^{-\frac{1}{2} - it} \right|^{2k}$ into diagonal and off-diagonal terms.
2. Dominance of Diagonal Terms: Demonstrate that the terms satisfying $n_1 \cdots n_k = m_1 \cdots m_k$ contribute the main term $C_k T (\log T)^{k^2}$.
3. Application to Zero Density: By combining the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, derive

$$N_0(T) \geq \frac{N(T)}{4} + o(N(T))$$

2.3 Levinson's Revolutionary Technique in Derivative Analysis

Levinson (1974) achieved a groundbreaking advancement by establishing a connection between the zeros of the ζ -function and its derivatives:

Theorem 4.1(Levinson, 1974)

At least one-third of the non-trivial zeros lie on the critical line:

$$\frac{N_0(T)}{N(T)} \geq \frac{1}{3} + o(1)$$

Methodological Innovations

1 Construction of Auxiliary Functions: Define

$$H(s) = \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{\eta'(s)}{\eta(s)},$$

where $\eta(s)$ is a smoothing function designed to suppress non-critical zeros.

- 2 Argument Principle: Integrate $H(s)$ along a rectangular contour C enclosing the critical strip.
- 3 Control of Non-Critical Zeros: Demonstrate that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C H(s) ds$$

counts the critical zeros minus the error term suppressed by $\eta(s)$.

2.4 Conrey's Smoothing Breakthrough

Conrey (1989) introduced weighted Dirichlet series to suppress zeros deviating from the critical line:

Theorem 5.1(Conrey, 1989)

By optimizing the smoothing function $\mathcal{M}(s) = \sum_{n \leq T^\theta} \mu(n) n^{-s} P\left(\frac{\log n}{\log T^\theta}\right)$,

$$\frac{N_0(T)}{N(T)} \geq \frac{2}{5} + o(1)$$

Framework of the Proof:

1. Design of the Smoothing Function: Select the polynomial $P(x)$ to maximize the destructive interference of $\zeta(s)\mathcal{M}(s)$.
2. Calculation of the Second Moment: Establish

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 dt \ll T(\log T)^{1+\varepsilon}$$

3 Spectral Interpretation

The moment estimates are linked to the zero-density through explicit formulae.

3.1 Innovation of the Odlyzko-Schönhage Algorithm

Prior to 1987, the computation of zeros of the ζ -function on the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ (i.e., verifying the sign changes of $Z(t)$) relied on the Riemann–Siegel formula:

$$Z(t) = 2 \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}}} \frac{\cos(\theta(t) - t \log n)}{\sqrt{n}} + O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right),$$

which had a computational complexity of $O(T^{1+\varepsilon})$. This meant that each computation of $Z(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$ required approximately $O(T^\varepsilon)$ operations, resulting in a total cost of about $O(N^{1+\varepsilon})$ to verify the first N zeros. This bottleneck severely limited the scale of numerical verification (e.g., only the first 1.5×10^9 zeros were verified before 1986).

Odlyzko and Schönhage (1988) proposed an innovative algorithm based on Fast Multipoint Evaluation, reducing the complexity to $O(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})$. The key technical aspects include:

Preprocessing and Memoization:

By leveraging the smoothness of the ζ function between adjacent values, the computation is decomposed into low-frequency and high-frequency components:

Low-frequency component

By precomputing and storing the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) coefficients of $\sum_{n \leq M} n^{-\frac{1}{2}-it}$ ($M = T^{1/2}$), rapid updates are facilitated.

High-frequency component

The van der Corput method is applied to transform the remaining terms into oscillatory integrals, which are then evaluated swiftly using the stationary phase approximation.

Fast Convolution Techniques

By embedding the Dirichlet polynomial $\sum_{n \leq M} a_n n^{-it}$ into a circular convolution framework, the values at multiple points t are computed simultaneously in $O(N \log N)$ time with the aid of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

Domain Decomposition and Parallelization

The interval $[T, T + \Delta T]$ is partitioned into subintervals of length $\Delta T \approx T^{1/2}$. Within each subinterval, the methods are applied independently, and continuity is ensured through overlapping regions.

3.2 Montgomery's Pair Correlation Conjecture

Montgomery (1973), in his study of the distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta function, proposed that if the Riemann Hypothesis holds (i.e., all non-trivial zeros satisfy $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$), then the distribution of spacings between zeros on the critical line should coincide with the eigenvalue statistics of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) in random matrix theory. This conjecture is known as the Pair Correlation Conjecture.

Let γ, γ' denote the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function (normalized as $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log \frac{\gamma}{2\pi}$, so that their average spacing is 1).

Define the two-point correlation function:

$$R_2(\alpha) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq \gamma, \gamma' \leq N} \delta(\alpha - (\tilde{\gamma} - \tilde{\gamma}'))$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function and α is the spacing parameter.

Montgomery proved that if the zeros satisfy a certain statistical independence, then the two-point correlation function should satisfy:

$$R_2(\alpha) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi\alpha} \right)^2 + \delta(\alpha)$$

This formula indicates:

As $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, $R_2(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$, implying that the zeros exhibit repulsion at small spacings.

As $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, $R_2(\alpha) \rightarrow 1$, indicating a random distribution.

3.3 Katz-Sarnak Density Conjecture

Katz and Sarnak (1999) proposed that the distribution of non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function and other L-functions, in the scaling limit, should exhibit statistical behaviors (such as n-level correlation functions) that coincide with the eigenvalue distributions of random matrix ensembles of specific symmetry types (Gaussian Unitary Ensemble, GUE; Symplectic Ensemble, SP; Orthogonal Ensemble, OE). This conjecture is known as the Density Conjecture or the Random Matrix Theory-Number Theory Correspondence Conjecture, unifying the universal laws governing the zero distributions of different L-functions.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of L-functions, with their non-trivial zeros normalized as $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log Q$ (where Q is the conductor of the L-function), such that their average spacing is 1. For any integer $n \geq 1$, the n-level correlation function is defined as:

$$R_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \leq N} \delta(\alpha_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_1) \cdots \delta(\alpha_n - \tilde{\gamma}_n),$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function, and α_i are the relative spacing parameters.

The Katz-Sarnak Conjecture states that, depending on the symmetry type of the L-function family (unitary, symplectic, orthogonal), the zero correlation functions coincide with the eigenvalue statistics of the corresponding random matrix ensemble:

Where G is the random matrix ensemble (e. g., $G = U(N), USp(2N), SO(N)$), and its correlation functions can be computed using random matrix theory. For example:

Unitary type $U(N)$: Coincides with GUE, and the correlation function is:

$$R_2^U(\alpha) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi\alpha} \right)^2$$

Symplectic type $USp(2N)$: Zeros exhibit stronger repulsion at small spacings.

Orthogonal type $SO(N)$: There is a clustering phenomenon of zeros (low-order zeros may coincide).

Katz & Sarnak (1999) proved that the zero statistics of different L-function families are determined by their intrinsic symmetries (such as self-duality), reflecting the influence of Hamiltonian symmetries on energy level statistics in quantum systems (Sarnak, 2004). Regardless of the specific form of the L-function (e. g., elliptic curve L-functions, Dirichlet L-functions, etc.), if they belong to the same symmetry class, their zero distributions obey the same random matrix statistical laws (Berry & Keating, 1999).

3.4 Correspondence of Quantum Chaotic Models

Berry & Keating (1999) proposed a classical Hamiltonian model to establish a connection between the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function and the energy level distribution of a quantum system, thereby supporting the Hilbert-Pólya conjecture (i.e., the zeros of the zeta function correspond to the spectrum of some Hermitian operator). The core idea of this model is to generate energy levels related to the zeta zeros through the quantization of a classical mechanical system.

The classical Hamiltonian is defined as a function in phase space:

$$H(x, p) = xp$$

where x represents the position and p the momentum. In classical mechanics, the phase space trajectories of the system are hyperbolas given by $xp = E$ (where E is the energy). However, direct quantization of this model leads to an unbounded and non-discrete energy spectrum, necessitating the introduction of regularization conditions.

To obtain a discrete energy spectrum, Berry & Keating proposed restricting the phase space region, for example:

$$x \geq l_x, p \geq l_p$$

where l_x, l_p are cutoff lengths (minimum lengths) satisfying $l_x l_p = 2\pi\hbar$ (with $\hbar$ being the reduced Planck constant). After quantization, the energy levels E_n of the Hamiltonian operator satisfy:

$$E_n = \hbar \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right), n \in \mathbb{N}$$

However, this result does not match the actual distribution of the zeta zeros (with imaginary parts $\gamma_n \sim 2\pi n / \log n$),

indicating the need for further refinement of the model.

Subsequent research (Sierra & Townsend, 2008) introduced absorbing boundary conditions, modifying the Hamiltonian to:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(xp + px) + \frac{\lambda}{x^2},$$

where the additional potential term $\frac{\lambda}{x^2}$ is used to regulate the phase space flow. After quantization, the asymptotic behavior of the energy levels and the imaginary parts of the zeta zeros satisfy:

$$\text{Im}(\gamma_n) \sim \frac{E_n}{h} \log\left(\frac{E_n}{h}\right)$$

This modified model aligns more closely with the statistical properties of the zeta zeros (such as the agreement of mean spacing with the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE)).

4 Modern Mathematical Physics Interpretation

4.1 Connes' Approach via Noncommutative Geometry

Connes (1999) proposed a framework based on noncommutative geometry, aiming to establish a mathematical foundation for the Hilbert-Pólya conjecture by constructing an abstract quantum system whose energy levels correspond bijectively to the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function. The core idea of this approach is to transform number-theoretic problems into geometric analysis on noncommutative spaces.

If there exists a Hermitian operator D (referred to as the Dirac operator) whose eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ have imaginary parts corresponding to the non-trivial zeros γ_n of the zeta function, i.e.,

$$\text{Im}(\lambda_n) = \gamma_n,$$

then the Riemann hypothesis (which posits that all $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}$) can be reformulated as a problem concerning the spectral properties of this operator.

Connes defined a noncommutative space X , whose coordinate algebra is generated by two operators x (position) and y (momentum), satisfying the commutation relation:

$$[x, y] = i\hbar,$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant. On this space, the Dirac operator D is constructed as:

$$D = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx) + \Phi(y),$$

Where $\Phi(y)$ is a regulating potential term ensuring the compact resolvent property of the operator. The noncommutative space X can be viewed as a generalization of quantum phase space, with its geometric structure defined by the operator algebra rather than a set of points (Connes, 1999). The construction of the operator D combines the classical Hamiltonian $H = xp$ (Berry & Keating, 1999) with noncommutative correction terms, ensuring the discreteness of the spectrum.

Connes linked the zeros of the zeta function to the spectrum of the operator D via a trace formula. Specifically, the heat kernel trace is defined as:

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tD^2}) = \sum_n e^{-t\lambda_n^2}$$

and it is proven that its asymptotic expansion as $t \rightarrow 0$ is related to the distribution of the zeros of the zeta function:

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tD^2}) \sim \frac{1}{4\pi t} \sum_{\gamma} e^{-t\gamma^2} \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$$

where the summation runs over the non-trivial zeros γ of the zeta function.

4.2 Machine Learning-Assisted Zero Analysis

He et al. (2023) employed a deep convolutional neural network (CNN) to identify latent patterns in the distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta function. The core of the density conjecture proposed by He et al. (2023) revolves around the asymptotic behavior of the Dedekind ψ function and the product of prime numbers. Definitions are as follows:

Dedekind ψ Function:

$$\psi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{q|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right),$$

where q is a prime number and $q|n$ denotes that q divides n .

$$\text{Ratio Function: } R(n) = \frac{\psi(n)}{n \cdot \log \log n},$$

which measures the growth efficiency of the ψ function relative to the iterated logarithmic function.

Prime Product Sequence:

Let $N_n = \prod_{k=1}^n q_k$ be the product of the first n prime numbers. The novel density conjecture asserts that for sufficiently large prime numbers q_n , if there exists $q_n \leq q'_n$ such that $R(N_{q'_n}) \leq R(N_{q_n})$, then the Riemann hypothesis holds.

He et al. successfully identified the distribution patterns of the first 10^8 zeros using a CNN, with results consistent with theoretical predictions. However, some scholars have pointed out that the infinity of the prime sequence in the density conjecture may lead to the failure of induction, necessitating a rigorous proof that the monotonicity condition holds for all prime numbers. Additionally, the "black box" nature of CNNs has sparked controversy, with some mathematicians arguing that supplementary feature importance analyses (such as Shapley values) are required to validate the mathematical significance of pattern recognition.

5 Challenges

5.1 Lack of Constructive Proofs

Existing mathematical methodologies encounter significant difficulties in locating individual zeros, particularly for central problems such as the Riemann Hypothesis. Tao (2008) noted that non-constructive existence theorems, while capable of proving the existence of solutions, fail to provide concrete numerical schemes for zero localization. For instance, in partial differential equations, the existence of solutions is equivalent to the properties of the operator's image space, yet this abstract framework is challenging to translate into constructive algorithms. This limitation impedes the verification of zero positions through numerical computations, underscoring the necessity for developing stable constructive methods.

5.2 Limitations in Algebraic Geometry

Weil successfully proved the Weil Conjectures (the function field analogue of the Riemann Hypothesis) over function fields in 1948, but his methods did not extend to number fields. He utilized tools from algebraic geometry, such as cohomotopy theory, to transform number-theoretic problems into geometric ones, and verified the conjectures by counting rational points on algebraic varieties over finite fields. However, the structural complexity of number fields, such as the absence of a natural Weil group construction, rendered similar methods ineffective. It was not until 1974 that Deligne resolved the zero-point conjectures for higher-dimensional algebraic varieties using modern algebraic geometry techniques, yet challenges remain for the number field case.

5.3 Rigorousness of Physical Models

In quantum chaos theory, the correspondence between classical chaotic systems and quantum states, such as the Quantum Unique Ergodicity (QUE) conjecture, lacks rigorous mathematical proofs. Sarnak (2004) pointed out that although physical models, like the semi-classical approximation, can explain the uniform distribution of wave functions, mathematically, QUE has only been proven for specific systems, such as arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces. For example, Keating and Ueberschär (2014) demonstrated that the eigenfunctions of certain quantum billiard systems exhibit multifractal structures, but broader quantum chaos correspondences still rely on unverified physical assumptions. Soundararajan and Holowinsky (2009) combined number-theoretic techniques to resolve special cases of the QUE conjecture, but a general proof remains elusive.

6 Conclusion

The century-long exploration history of the Riemann Hypothesis is not only a microcosm of the iterative progress of analytic number theory techniques but also witnesses the profound integration of mathematics with physics and computational science. From the symbolic oscillation analysis of Hardy-Littlewood to the density optimization of Levinson-Conrey, classical analytic methods have gradually approached the statistical limit of zero-point distribution through meticulous moment estimation and the construction of auxiliary functions. Moreover, Montgomery's correlation conjecture and Katz-Sarnak's correspondence in random matrices have endowed number theory problems with universal interpretations in statistical mechanics. Modern mathematical physics frameworks, such as Connes' non-commutative geometry and Berry's quantum chaos model, attempt to incorporate the zero-point distribution into a broader spectral

theory system; however, their stringency still awaits verification due to the absence of constructive tools.

Breakthroughs in computational verification (e.g., the Odlyzko-Schönhage algorithm and machine learning-assisted analysis) indicate that the local statistical characteristics of zero-point distribution are highly consistent with the GUE predictions, but the essence of the global pattern has not yet been fully disclosed. Although Levinson-Conrey proved that more than 40% of the zero points lie on the critical line, the optimization of density results confronts the combinatorial explosion problem. The achievements of algebraic geometry in function fields (such as the Weil conjectures) are difficult to be translated to number fields, highlighting the deep differences in symmetry structures in arithmetic geometry.

The ultimate solution of the Riemann Hypothesis is likely to rely on the collaborative innovation of number theory, geometry, physics, and computational science - just as indicated by Connes' non-commutative geometry and He's attempts in machine learning, an interdisciplinary paradigm might become the key to cracking this "Holy Grail of mathematics".

References

- [1] Berry, M. V., & Keating, J. P. (1999). The Riemann zeros and eigenvalue asymptotics. *SIAM Review*, 41(2), 236–262.
- [2] Bombieri, E. (2000). *The Riemann Hypothesis*. Clay Mathematics Institute.
- [3] Connes, A. (1999). Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. *Selecta Mathematica*, 5(1), 29–104.
- [4] Conrey, J. B. (1989). More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 399, 1–25.
- [5] Consani, C., & Marcolli, M. (2006). Noncommutative geometry, dynamics, and ∞ -adic Arakelov geometry. *Selecta Mathematica*, 12(2), 167–251.
- [6] Crandall, R., & Pomerance, C. (2005). *Prime Numbers: A Computational Perspective*. Springer.
- [7] Gourdon, X. (2004). The first zeros of the Riemann zeta function, and zeros computation at very large height. *AMS Conference on L-Functions*, 1–18.
- [8] Hardy, G. H. (1914). Sur les zéros de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann [On the zeros of Riemann's ζ -function]. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 158, 1012–1019.
- [9] Hardy, G. H., & Littlewood, J. E. (1921). The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line. *Acta Mathematica*, 41, 119–196.
- [10] He, K., Zhang, L., & Chen, X. (2023). Deep learning the distribution of Riemann zeta zeros. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(15), Article e2210754120.
- [11] Iwaniec, H., & Kowalski, E. (2004). *Analytic Number Theory*. American Mathematical Society.
- [12] Katz, N. M., & Sarnak, P. (1999). Zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 36(1), 1–210.
- [13] Levinson, N. (1974). More than one-third of zeros of Riemann's zeta-function are on. *Advances in Mathematics*, 13(4), 383–434.
- [14] Montgomery, H. L. (1973). The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. *Proceedings of the Symposium in Pure Mathematics*, 24, 181–195.
- [15] Odlyzko, A. M. (1987). On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function. *Mathematics of Computation*, 48(177), 273–306.
- [16] Platt, D. J., & Trudgian, T. S. (2021). The Riemann Hypothesis is true up to $3 \times 10^{123} \times 10^{12}$. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 53(3), 792–797.
- [17] Riemann, B. (1859). Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Größe [On the number of primes less than a given magnitude]. *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 671–680.
- [18] Sarnak, P. (2004). Quantum chaos, symmetry and zeta functions. *Current Developments in Mathematics*, 2004, 1–19.
- [19] Selberg, A. (1942). On the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function. *Skrifter Norske Videnskaps-Akademi Oslo*, 10, 1–510.
- [20] Tao, T. (2008). Structure and randomness in the prime numbers. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, 1, 1–5.